#### **CHAPTER V**

## **CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

In this chapter, the writer would like to present some conclusion and suggestion based on the data analysis in the previous chapter.

## 5.1 Conclusion

Based on the result of the analysis and discussion of this study, the writer proposes some conclusion below:

- The dominance in the conversation performed by eleventh grade students of SMA Negeri 6 Kupang, were related to amount of talk, interruptions and the turn taking of each person in the conversation.
- 2. The topic control can be determined by amount of talk and turn taking of each person. The members of the group conversation that have more talk and turn taking than the others, he or she was control the topic in the conversation.
- 3. In mixed group conversation: male students were dominating than female students. Because male students produced 27 sentences in amount of talk, 1 interruption and 10 turn taking. While female students produced 2 interruptions, 11 sentences and 4 turn taking.
- 4. In male's group conversation, male 1 was more dominant than the others because male 1 produced 2 interruptions, 29 sentences in amount of talk and 10 turn taking during the conversation.

5. In female's group conversation, female 2 was more dominant than the others because female 2 produced 28 sentences in amount of talk, 7 turn taking, and 3 interruptions.

# 5.2 Suggestion

Based on the finding of this study, the writer would like to give several suggestions that should get attention:

- 1. The eleventh grade students of SMA Negeri 6 Kupang should spend more time to perform conversation in order to achieve a more balanced conversation, in terms of amount of talk and turn-taking.
- 2. The English teacher of SMA Negeri 6 Kupang, should give more time to the students to practice free conversation.
- 3. The other researcher still have chance to conduct a study with same problem in order to know any other differences in conversation especially English conversation.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Antaki, C. and S. Widdicombe. 1998. *Identities in Talk*. London: Sage Publications: Thousand Oaks New Delhi.
- Eichelberger R. Tony. 1989. Discipline Inquiry Understanding and Doing Educational Research. University of Pittsburg: Longman New York & London.
- Hirst, G. 1994. *Speech Communication*. Received 28 April 1994. Department of Computer Science, Toronto. Ontario M55 1A4. Canada.
- Florensia Anggreny. 2009. *Male's and Female's Talk Differences in Conversation Thesis*. English Education Program. Unwira-Kupang.
- Hornby A S. 1995. Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary. Oxford University Press. New York.
- Itakura Hiroko. 2000. *Describing Conversational Dominance*. Department of English, The Hongkong Polytechnic University, Hung Horn, Kowloon, Hongkong.
- Jasmon. 2010. The Analysis on The Interactional Context in Conversation Thesis. English Department UNWIRA: Kupang.
- Mako Theresia, 2010. *Male and Female Talk Differences in Conversation Thesis*. English Education Study Program. Unwira. Kupang.
- Markee, N. 2000. *Conversation Analysis*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Publisher.
- Itakura Hiroko, 2004. *Gender Differences and Dominance in Conversation*. Department of English, The Hongkong Polytechnic University. Hug horn. Kowloon. Hongkong.
- Joman Ferdianus. 2012. *Gender Differnces in English Conversation*. English Department. UNWIRA. Kupang.