### **CHAPTER V**

### **CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS**

In this chapter, the writer presents the conclusion and suggestion of the study. The conclusion is done based on the data analysis in chapter IV, which is in line with the problem statement. The suggestion is done based on the conclusion, which is achieved from the result of the analysis and dissusion. The conclusion and suggestion in details are presented below.

## 5.1 Conclusion

Based on the result of the data analysis, the writer comes to the conclusions as presented below.

- 1. The eighth grade students of SMPK St. Theresia in the school year 2017/2018 are not able to comprehend the narrative text they read.
- 2. The result of the study shows that the students' level of ability in reading comprehension of narrative text in form of multiple choice is very bad with the total score (5.56) or 5,5 as the grade.

# 5.2 Suggestion

Based on the conclusions above, the writer would like to suggest the following things.

1. For the English teacher

In order to improve the students' ability in reading comprehension, the English teacher should give the students more explanations about narrative text, and giving more excercises in form multiple choose.

## 2. For the students

The result of the students ability is very bad thus the students should pay more attention and do more excercises about narrative text. The practices can be done in the classroom or at home by doing homework so their level of ability can be better.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Carrel, P. L, Devine, J., &Eskey, D. E. 1993. *Interactive Approach to Second LanguageReading*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Devine, Thomas E. 1986. Teaching Reading Comprehension. Boston; Ally Bacon inc.

- Gusi. 2006. Investigated the Reading Comprehension Ability of the second year students of SMPK St. Theresia Kupang in the school year 2005/2006. Unwira Kupang.
- Harmer, Jeremy. 2003. The practice of English language teaching. London: Longman.
- Hornby, A. S. 1995. *Oxford Advanced Learners Dictionary Current English*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Nagareja. 2009. Investigated Reading Comprehension Ability of the second year students of SMPK Sta. Familia Sikumana Kupang in the academic year 2009/2010. Unwira Kupang.
- Rahmatullah. 2013. Improving Reading Comprehension Through Inquiry Method for the second grade students of multimedia department in SMKN 1 Kupang in the Academic Year 2012-2013.
- Slavin, R. E. 2005. Cooperative Learning: Bandung: Nusa Media.
- Sudarwati, T. M., & Grace, E. 2007. *Look Ahead*: An English course book for Senior High School students year XII. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Tarigan, H. G. 2008. Membaca Sebagai Suatu Keterampilan Berbahasa. Bandung: Angkasa.
- MacBeath, J. H. Demetriou, Ruddoct, J. Myers, k. 2003. Consulting puplis: A Toolkit for Teachers. Cambridge: Pearson.
- Olson, K. 1961. Content for Conversation Partner. The English Teacher volume XX111.
- Shaw, Cristoper. 1993. Material and Method in ELT; A Teaches Guide. UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Swank, et al. 1989. Sensitivity of classroom observation systems: Measuring teacher effectivenes. Journal of Experimental Education, 57(2), 171-186.
- Nunan, D. 1989. *Designing Task for Comunication Classroom*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sudrajat. 2008. Media Pengajaran. Bandung: CV Sinar Baru
- Tarwiyah, siti, Game Songs and Practical Ideas to Teach Languege a Suplementary Book for Language Teachers.

- Brown, H. D. 2001. Teaching By Principles: An Interactive approach To Language Pedagogy (Second Edition). New York: Addison Wesseley Longman, Inc.
- Eichelberger. 1989. Doing Educational Research London: Logman.
- Al, Et Harry. 1982. *Theoretical Models and Processes of Reading*. University Michigan: International Reading Asociation, Inc.
- Al, Et Corte.2001. Reading Comprehension. Springer: Science, Inc.
- Webster. 1990. Improve *Reading Ability Through Extensive Reading Program*. By Clemens Ataburan, 2005
- Wooley. G. 2011. Reading *Comprehension: Assisting Children with Difficulties*. Springer: Science, Inc.