THESIS

THE DIFFERENT LANGUAGE USE BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE OF THIRD SEMESTER STUDENTS OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM OF WIDYA MANDIRA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2018/2019



ELIA SIMON

TEACHING TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL SCIENCE
FACULTY
WIDYA MANDIRA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
KUPANG
2018

THESIS

THE DIFFERENT LANGUAGE USE BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE OF FIFTH SEMESTER STUDENTS OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM OF WIDYA MANDIRA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2018/2019



ELIA SIMON REG. NO. 12114059

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
TEACHING TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL SCIENCE
FACULTY
WIDYA MANDIRA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
KUPANG
2018

THE DIFFERENT LANGUAGE USE BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE OF THIRD SEMESTER STUDENTS OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM OF WIDYA MANDIRA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2018/2019

Presented in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Education

ELIA SIMON

REG. NO. 12114059

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
TEACHING TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL SCIENCE
FACULTY
WIDYA MANDIRA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
KUPANG
2018

THE DIFFERENT LANGUAGE USE BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE OF THE THIRD SEMESTER STUDENTS OF ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM OF WIDYA MANDIRA CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2018/2019

Approved by,

Advisor I,

Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom, M. Hum

Advisor II,

Maria G. Djehatu, S.Pd., M.Pd

Acknowledged by
Head of English Education Study Program
Teacher Training and Educational Science Faculty
Widya Mandira Catholic University

Kupang

Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom, M.Hum

This thesis was defended on October 24th, 2018

Board of Examiners

No	Names	Positions	Signatures
1	Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom, M.Hum	Chairman	Minda
2	Maria G. Djehatu, S.Pd., M.Pd	Secretary	M
3	Dr. Damianus Talok, MA	Examiner I	omall
4	Drs. Klemens Ataburan, M.Hum	Examiner II	Jehns
5.	Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom, M.Hum	Examiner III	Kmr

Acknowledged by

Dean of FKIP of UNWIRA Kupang

r. Daminnys Talok, MA.

Head of English Education Study
Program of FKIP of UNWIRA Kupang

Brunes Kletus Erom, M.Hum

MOTTO

Ex Nihilo, Nihil Fit Nothing comes from nothing

(Latin Proverb)

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the following people:

- 1. Loving and patient parents: Lusia Kopong and Simon Mado
- Beloved siblings: Cecilia Felicia Simon, Arnoldus Emanuel Simon, Kristina Mary Vianney Simon, and Rosalina Scholastica Simon.
- 3. My son, Lukas Devarento, and my husband, Wilfrits Gega
- My beloved mother, sisters and brothers in law: Mama Sarlota, Efrina, Stine,
 Arky, and Lucky
- 5. Widya Mandira Catholic University
- 7. All my beloved lecturers who gave their knowledge, shared their skills, and gave their affections, attentions and motivations.
- 6. Those who cannot be more than happy to see me succeed in finishing my degree, and prayed for me with or without my attention.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

As a Catholic believer, the researcher does believe that the success of this thesis is because of the blessings from the Almighty God, Mother Mary, and Jesus Christ. The researcher realizes that this thesis compilation cannot be successful if there is no contribution from other people. Thus, in this section, the researcher would like to present her gratitude towards several parties:

- The Dean of Teaching Training and Educational Science Faculty of Widya Mandira Catholic University, Dr. Damianus Talok, MA for giving his support in administrative business of this research.
- The Head of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University, Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom, M. Hum who gave a permission to the researcher to carry out this research.
- 3. Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom, M.Hum, as the first advisor, and Maria Goreti Djehatu, S.Pd., M. Pd, as the second advisor of this thesis who advised, motivated, and guided the researcher in this thesis compilation.
- 4. Dr. Damianus Talok, MA, as the first examiner, and Drs. Klemens Ataburan, M.Hum, as the second examiners who criticized and gave suggestion towards this thesis during the proposal seminar and the thesis examination.
- 5. All of the lecturers of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University who gave useful knowledge before so that the researcher could compile this thesis successfully.

6. The participants of this research; the third semester students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in academic year 2018/2019 who actively participated in this research.

7. Her beloved parents, Simon Mado and Lusia Kopong

8. Researcher's friends of FBI 14 who gave their supports and motivations to the researcher. Especially to the collaborators of this research, Acang

10. Maria Febraini Seran S.Pd, and Theresia Theodora Bengan Ola, S.Pd for their ideas and suggestions in this thesis compilation.

11. The researcher's Global Under Graduate Exchange Program Family who supported and motivated her during the thesis compilation. Also, actively gave their suggestions and ideas.

The researcher realizes that there are alot of contributions from the people that she cannot mention one by one. The last but not least, the critics and suggestions from the readers towards this thesis are more than welcomed.

Kupang, October 2018

Writer

ABSTRACT

Elia Simon. 2018. "The Different Language Use between Male and Female of The Third Semester Students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in Academic Year 2018/2019". Thesis of Language and Art Department. English Study Program. Advisor I Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom, M.Hum and advisor II Maria G. Djehatu, S.Pd, M.Pd. This research was done to answer the following problem statements 1) What are the differences of language use between male and female of the third-semester students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in academic year 2018/2019? 2) Why do the male and female of the third-semester students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in academic year 2018/2019 use language differently?. Based on the two questions, the objectives of this research are to find out two answers 1) The differences language use betweem male and female of the third semester students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in academic year 2018/2019. 2) The reason why male and female of the third semester students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in academic year 2018/2019 use language differently. The participants of this research were 18 English for Foreign Language (EFL) learners. They were the third-semester students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in academic year 2018/2019, with the equal number of males and females; nine males and nine females. A qualitative research was used to conduct this sociolinguistics research where the researcher used two instruments; DCT (Discourse Completion Test) and observation. The researcher designed questions for interview based on the Differences Approach by Tannen (1990). The researcher used voice recorder as a tool in recording the conversations in the twomeeting interviews. Based on the data analysis, the researcher found out the differences of language use among the participants follow: 1) Female participants used exaggerated adjectives and adverbs (it's very good! gorgeous) 34 times, while males used only 13 times. 2) Female participants used expletives (Oh dear!, oh my God! oh! Wow!) 27 times, whereas males used only 11 times. 3) Eight out of nine female participants addressed their same gender friends as sayang, cin, etc., which considered as an exaggerated pronoun, whereas, males used bro (brother) or call their names. 4) Female participants gave supportive words and empathy more often than males did. They used 20 times while males only 10 times. 5) Females used 28 times proposal pattern (less imperative sentence) while males used 18 times. 6) seven out of nine female participants chose gossips while all of the males and two females chose sport as the most topic of conversation they had. The reason why the participants used language differently because they have their own personalities, expression, and ways of reaction during the interview. sThus, the researcher has some suggestion to several parties 1) the future researchers and linguists; they should carry out more research among EFL learners. 2) the readers; they should not lead conversations with different gender speaker to the prejudice and discrimination against each other, because every single person is unique, and the biggest mistake is believing there is one right way to talk.

Keywords: Different language use, syntactic category

TABLE OF CONTENT

APPROVAL SHEET	iii
EXAMINATION BOARD	iv
MOTTO	v
DEDICATION	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
ABSTRACT	ix
TABLE OF CONTENT	X
TABLE LISTS	xii
DIAGRAM LISTS	xiii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	
1.2 Statement of the Problems	
1.3 Objective of the Study	
1.4 Significance of the Study	
1.5 Scope and Limitation of the Study	5
1.6 Definitions of Terms	6
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	7
2.1 Theory	7
2.1.1The Definitions of Sociolinguistics	7
2.1.2 The Definitions of Syntactic Category	9
2.1.3 The Theories of Gender and Language Use	9
2.1.3.1 Deficit Approach	9
2.1.3.2 Dominance Approach	10
2.1.3.3 Discursive Approach	11
2.1.3.4 Difference Approach	11
2.1.4 Gender Differences in Language Use	12
2.1.4.1 Differences in Pronunciation	12
2.1.4.2 Differences in Intonation	12
2.1.4.3 Differences in Vocabulary	13
2.1.4.4 Differences in Syntactic Categories	
2.1.4.5 Differences in Thier Attitudes toward Language	
2.1.4.6 Differences in Topic Choosing	
2.1.5 Reasons for Gender Differences in Language Use	

2.2 The Contribution of the Research to English Language Learning.	20
2.3 Review of the Previous Studies	21
2.4 Conceptual Framework	24
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	27
4.1 Research Design	27
3.1.2 Research Location and Time	28
3.3 Participants of the Study	28
3.3 Research Instrument	28
3.4 Technique of Data Collection	30
3.5 Technique of Data Analysis	30
3.6 Technique of Reporting the Result of the Data Analysis	31
CHAPTER IV DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	32
4.1 Data Display	32
4.1.1 The Result of the Discourse Task Completion Test of the	22
Research	
4.1.2 The Participants Performance during the Interview	
4.2 The Differences Language Use between Male and Female of the	
Third Semester Students of English Education Study Program	
of Widya Mandira Catholic University in Academic Year	
2018/2019.	
4.2.1 Exaggerated Adjectives and Adverbs	
4.2.2 Expletives	
4.2.3 Supportive Words and Empathy or Solution	
•	
4.2.5 Exaggerated Pronoun	
4.2.6 Differences in Topic Choosing	
4.3 The Reason for the Different Language Use between Male and	
Female of the Third Semester Students of English Education	
Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in	
Academic Year 2018/2019	
4.3.1 Different Personalities of Participants	56
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	60
5.1 Conclusion	60
5.2 Suggestion	61
BIBLIOGRAPHY	63
APPENDICES	65
Appendix 1Situational Based Question	
Appendix 2 Females' Interview Transcript on the First Meeting	
Appendix 3 Males' Interview Transcript on the First Meeting	
Appendix 4 Females' Interview Transcript on the Second Meeting	
Appendix 5 Males' Interview Transcript on the Second Meeting	
Appendix 6 DTC Answer of Female Participants in the First Meeting	

Appendix 7 DTC Answer of Male Participants in the First Meeting.	115
Appendix 8 DTC Answer of Female Participants in the Second	
Meeting	118
Appendix 9 DTC Answer of Male Participants in the Second	
Meeting	122

TABLE LISTS

Table 4.1 Transcription Notation	33
Table 4.2 The Participants' Performances during Interview	52
Table 4.3Frequency of Exaggerated Adjectives and Adverbs	53
Table 4.4 Frequency of Expletives	53
Table 4.5 Frequency of Supportive Words or Emphaty	54
Table 4.6 Frequency of Proposal or Order Pattern	54
Table 4.7 Frequency of Exaggerated Pronoun	55
Table 4.8 Differences of Topic Choosing	55
Table 4.9 Female Participants Classification of Words Use	57
Table 4.10 Male Participants Classification of Words Use	57

DIAGRAM LISTS

Diagram 4.1 The Result of Situation 1 in the First Meeting	. 34
Diagram 4.2 The Result of Situation 2 in the First Meeting	. 35
Diagram 4.3 The Result of Situation 3 in the First Meeting	.36
Diagram 4.4 The Result of Situation 4 in the First Meeting	. 37
Diagram 4.5 The Result of Situation 5 in the First Meeting	. 38
Diagram 4.6 The Result of Situation 6 in the First Meeting	. 39
Diagram 4. 7 The Result of Situation 7 in the First Meeting	40
Diagram 4. 8 The Result of Topic Choosing in the First Meeting	41
Diagram 4.9 The Result of Situation 1 in the Second Meeting	. 42
Diagram 4.10 The Result of Situation 2 in the Second Meeting	43
Diagram 4.11 The Result of Situation 3 in the Second Meeting	. 44
Diagram 4.12 The Result of Situation 4 in the Second Meeting	. 44
Diagram 4.13 The Result of Situation 5 in the Second Meeting	45
Diagram 4.14 The Result of Situation 6 in the Second Meeting	46
Diagram 4. 15 The Result of Situation 7 in the First Meeting	.47
Diagram 4. 16 The Result Exaggerated Pronoun	. 48
Diagram 4. 17 The Result of Topic Choosing in the Second Meeting	. 49