CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

In this chapter the writer draws some conclusion and suggestions based on the data analysis of this thesis study.

5.1 Conclusion

After analyzing and discussing about students error in writing narrative text, the writer can concluded that:

- 1. The types of errors made by the made by the second semester students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University in writing narrative text were omission, addition, misformation, and misordering. The number of errors in grammar was omission 9 (11.53%), addition 14 (17.94%), misordering 5 (6.41%) and misformation 49 (62.82%).
- 2. The most frequent error encountered by the students in writing narrative text is misformation 49 (62.82%).

5.2 Suggestion

The writer would like to give some suggestion to the lecturer and students that might be useful for improving the grammatical errors in writing narrative text.

 For the Lecturers of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University. The lecturer should teach the students how to write a good text especially in writing narrative text and give the students chance to practice. Therefore, the students should be focused on grammar especially in using tenses and subject verb agreement.

 For the Students of English Education Study Program of Widya Mandira Catholic University.

The students should learn more how to choose the right words, correct sentence structure and focus on grammar especially tenses and subject agreement. Do more practice in writing in order to increase the skills of writing itself.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aminah, K. 2016. Error Analysis on the Use of Simple Past Tense in English Narrative Text Written By the Students of SMKN 1 Tengaran in the Academic Year of 2016/2017 (Doctoral dissertation, Fakultas Tarbiyah dan Ilmu Keguruan).
- Anderson. 1997. Narrative Writing. New York: Longman.
- Carl, James. 1988. Error in Language Learning and Use. London: Longman.
- Corder, P 1981. *Error analysis and Inter Language*. England: Oxford University.
- Dulay, H, Burt, M. and Krashen, S. D. 1982. *Language two*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Erom, Kletus. 2018. Practical Guidelines for Writing Research Report. A Manual for The Subject Of Research Method in Linguistics. Unwira Kupang.
- Wati, H. (2011). Error Analysis in the Students' writing Narrative Paragraph At MTSN Pajajaran (Thesis, State Islamic University Syarif Hidayatullah, Jakarta).
- Hubbard, Peter. 1983. *Training Course for TEFL*. New York: Oxford University press.
- Hyland, K. 2003. Teaching and Researching Writing. Great Britain: Longman
- Isaac, S. and Michael. W. B. 1997. *Handbook in Research and Evaluation for Education and the Behavioral Sciences*. California: San Diego. Edits Publisher.
- James. 1998. *Definition of Error*. Exploring Error Analysis London and New York: Longman.
- Keshavarz, Mohammad H. 2012. *Constrative Analysis and Error Analysis*. Tehran: Rahnama Press.
- Lado. 1961. Grammar. London: Longman Group Limited.
- Linda, Maria. 2008. *The Analysis of Errors in Writing Question Tag in Sentence:* (Thesis). Kupang: Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Nurhidayah.(2017) An Analysis on the Students' Ability in Writing Narrative Text at Grade IX Mts Ali Imron Medan:Unpublished Thesis

- Richard, Jack C and Willy A. Renandya. 2002. *Methodology in Language Teaching; An Anthology of Current Practice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rudi, H. 2005. *Genres of Text*. English Department Faculty of Language and Art Semarang State University.
- Sudaryanto. (1990). Menguak Fungsi Hakiki Bahasa. Yogyakarta: Duta Wacana Press.
- Sugiyono. (2009). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sulaiman, 1970. Practical English Grammar. Surabaya: Indah Press.
 - Syarif, R. R. (2014). An Error Analysis on Students' Simple Past Tense Mastery in Their Narrative Text. *UIN, Jakarta*