CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Based on the analysis and discussion of the study, the writer would like to present the conclusion and some suggestion.

5.1 Conclusion

- 1. Greater part (84.00) or the test constructed by the English teachers of SMA Efata Soe is qualified multiple choice items. The test for first class has 35 or 87.50% qualified items and only 5 or 12.50% unqualified items. The test for the second class has 23 or 77.00% qualified items and 7 or 23.00% unqualified items. The test for the third class has 26 or 87.00% qualified items and 4 or 13.00% unqualified items.
- 2. The multiple choice test items is poor in which there are 16 are classified as unqualified items. First, most unqualified items do not meet the MC2 that "says use proper grammar, punctuation, and spelling". The second, another unqualified items do not meet the MC10 which say "the key should be approximately the same length as the distracters". The third, unqualified items which do not meet the MC1 which says "Each item should only have one correct answer". The last unqualified items do not meet the MC11 which says "The key should appear in each position approximately the same number in a test".
- 3. Among 15 criteria of multiple choice test given to the students at SMA Efata Soe fail meet four criteria (MC1, MC2, MC10, and MC11) proposed by Grondlund.

5.2 Suggestion

Based on the conclusion, the writer would like to give two suggestions as presented below:

- 1. The English teachers of SMA Efata Soe should get information about good criteria in constructing a multiple choice test to prepare or make a good test in the form of multiple choices if they want to test the students in the future.
- 2. The English teachers of SMA Efata Soe should get special training about the construction of a multiple choices.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alle. P. 2009. *Presentation Transcript*. Washington: University of Washington.
- Soi. B. 2002. A Study on the Quality of Objective test Items Constructed by the English Teachers of Medical Polytechnic Kupang in the Academic Year 2001/2002. Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Borg, W.R and M.D. Gall.1977. Educational Research. New York: Longman.
- Echols, Jhon and Shadily, Hasan. 1989. *Kamus Inggris-Indoesia, Indonesia Inggris*. Jakarta: Gramedia.
- Erom, K. 2012. *Practical Guidelines for Writing Research Report (Thesis)*. Kupang: Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Davies. A. 2009. Presentation Transcript. Edinburgh. University of Edinburgh.
- Gronlund, N.E. 1968. *Constructing Achievement Test*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Gronlund, N. E. and R. L. Linn. 1989. *Measurement and Evaluating in Teaching 6th Educational*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Halek, V. J. 2007. An analysis on multiple choice test items constructed by some Senior High School teachers in Atambua city in the school year 2007-2008. Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Hughes, Arthur. 1989. *Testing for Language Teachers*. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press.
- Lilong, Salmun.2006. "Distractors Analysis of Multiple Choice Tests Constructed by the Teachers at Junior High School in Semau in the School Year 2007 / 2008 (Thesis)". Widya Mandira Catholic University.

- Mau, B. M. 2005. A study on multiple choice test constructed by the English teachers of SMAK Suria Atambua in the school year 2004/2005. Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Mandaru, M. 2000. *Language Testing. Unpublished Lecture Handbook*. Kupang: Nusa Cendana University.
- Richard, Jhon Platt, Heide Wewber. 1985. Longman Dictionary of Applied Linguistic. Great Britain: Longman Group Limited.
- Soi, B.2001. "A Study on the Quality of Objective Test Items Constructed by the Teachers of English of Medical Polytechnic Kupang in Academic Year 2001/2002 (Thesis)". Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Valette, R.M. 1977. *Modern Language Testing*. New York: Harcourt Brace Javanovich, Inc.