### **THESIS**

# AN ANALYSIS ON DISTRACTORS OF THE MULTIPLE CHOICE TEST CONSTRUCTED BY THE ENGLISH TEACHER FOR THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMP NEGERI 2 KUPANG IN THE SCHOOL YEAR 2016/2017



**SUSANTI BTE ANTON** 

TEACHING TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL SCIENCESFACULTY
WIDYA MANDIRACATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
KUPANG
2017

### **THESIS**

# AN ANALYSIS ON DISTRACTORS OF THE MULTIPLE CHOICE TEST CONSTRUCTED BY THE ENGLISH TEACHER FOR THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMP NEGERI 2 KUPANG IN THE SCHOOL YEAR 2016/2017

Presented in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement

for the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan



**SUSANTI BTE ANTON** 

REG. NO. 12113019

ENGLISH STUDY PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ART DEPARTMENT
TEACHING TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES
FACULTY
WIDYA MANDIRACATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
KUPANG
2017

### **THESIS**

# AN ANALYSIS ON DISTRACTORS OF THE MULTIPLE CHOICE TEST CONSTRUCTED BY THE ENGLISH TEACHER FOR THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMP NEGERI 2 KUPANG IN THE SCHOOL YEAR 2016/2017

Presented in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for Sarjana Pendidikan Degree in English Language Educational

**SUSANTI BTE ANTON** 

REG. NO. 12113019

ENGLISH STUDY PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ART DEPARTMENT
TEACHING TRAINING AND EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES
FACULTY
WIDYA MANDIRACATHOLIC UNIVERSITY
KUPANG
2017

## AN ANALYSIS ON DISTRACTORS OF THE MULTIPLE CHOICE TEST CONSTRUCTED BY THE ENGLISH TEACHER FOR THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMP NEGERI 2 KUPANG IN THE SCHOOL YEAR 2016/2017

### Approved by

Advisor I

Advisor II

Dr. Damianus Talok, M.A

Maria Djehatu, S.Pd

Acknowledged by
Head of English Education Study Program
Teacher Training and Educational Sciences Faculty
Widya Mandira Catholic University
Kupang

letus Erom, M.Hum

### This Thesis was defended on March 2017

### **Board of Examiners**

No	Names	Positions	Signature
1	Dr. Damianus Talok, MA	Chairman	samoorle
2	Maria G. Djehatu, S.Pd	Secretary	M
3	Drs. Ataburan Klemens, M. Hum	Examiner I	South
4	Paulus Asa, Mag.Tesol, M.Pd	Examiner II	South
5	Dr. Damianus Talok, MA	Examiner III	Samall

### Acknowledged by

Dean of FKIP of UNWIRA Kupang

Dr. Dannanns Talok, M.A.

Head of English Study Program

etus Erom, M.Hum

## **MOTTO**

"Doing What You Like Is Freedom,

Liking What You Do Is Happiness"

"Shakepeare"

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Firstly, the writer would like to thankto the Almighty God and Virgin Mary for their blessing given to the writer, so that this thesis could be finished. Secondly, the writer is aware that in writing this thesis she has been helped by some people too. So the writer would like to thank them all too, as follows:

- Dr. Damianus Talok, MA, as the Dean of Teacher Training and Educational Science Faculty of UNWIRA Kupang who helped the writer with all administrative affairs so this study could be conducted.
- 2. Dr. Drs. Kletus Erom M.Hum, as the Head of English Study Programwho helped the writer with the administrative affair, so this study could be done.
- Dr. Damianus Talok, M.A as the first advisor and Maria Jehatu, S.Pd as the second advisor who have shared their expertise to help the writer accomplish this study.
- 4. Both her panelist, Drs. Ataburan Klemens, M.Hum as the first panelist and Paulus Asa Mag.TESOL, M.Pd as the second panelist for their suggestions and corrections for the improvement of this writing in the proposal seminar and the thesis examination..
- All lecturers of English Education Study Program for their time in providing the writer with knowledge, experience, and skills during her study at Widya Mandira University.
- 6. The headmaster of SMP Negeri 2 Kupang who helped her to collect the data.

7. My Parents Antonius Ola Rea (the late) and Martha Masi Sabon, for the prayerand support from the beginning to the end of the study.

8. My Brothers Martin and his wife, Louis and his wife, Levi and his wife and Rofinus Buga Doni, my hero Ignasius Jack Anthonyfor the prayer, love support and motivation for the writer during her life and study.

9. Her all friends who gave the writer motivation and support during this study.

Finally, the writer realizes that this study is still incomplete. There are so many weaknesses in writing this study. Therefore, any critics and comments to improve it from whomever, are well appreciated.

Kupang, March 2017

The Writer

### **ABSTRACT**

This study is entitled "AN ANALYSIS ON DISTRACTORS OF THE MULTIPLE CHOICE TEST CONSTRUCTED BY THE ENGLISH TEACHER FOR THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMP NEGERI 2 KUPANG IN THE SCHOOL YEAR 2016/2017". It was conducted to answer the questions: Are the distractors of multiple choice test for the eighth grade students at SMP Negeri 2 Kupang good? the objectives of the study is as follow: To find out whether the distractors constructed by the English teacher at SMP NEGERI 2 Kupang are good or not? The writer used documentary method and descriptive method for this study. The subject of this study were 50 items of constructed multiple choice test. The results of this study are as follows: there are 26 items whose distractors were chosen by students which have precentage less than 5% and are not functioning properly. There are 24 items with good distractors. Based on these two findings, the writer concluded that the distractors made by teacher for the eighth grade students that the test is not good because the precentage of good distractors is less than 50%. Based on the result of the study, the writer suggests the following: 1. There are many items in the constructed multiple choice tesh that belong to distractors. Therefore teacher of English at the researched school must pay attention to the rules and ways in constructing distractors of multiple choice test. 2. The teacher of English at the researched school should rewrite or discard the test items which belong to constructed the distractors if the same multiple choice test will be used for other classes.

## **CONTENTS**

	Pages
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statements	3
1.3 Objectives of the Study	3
1.4 Significance of the Study	3
1.5 Scope and Limitation	4
1.6 Definition of Terms	4
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	6
2.1 Concept of Language Testing	6
2.1.1 Test	6
2.1.2 The Importance of test	6
2.1.3 Kinds of Test	7
2.1.4 Kind of test items	8
2.1.5 Multiple Choice Test Items	9
2.1.6 Criteria for Good Multiple Choice Item	10
2.1.7 Constructing Multiple Choice Test Items	12
2.1.8 Analysis distractors	16
2.2 Previous Studies	17
2.3 Conceptual Framework	18
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	20
3.1 Research Design	20
3.2 Subject of the Study	21
3.3 Instruments of the Study	21
3.4 Procedures of the Study	21
3.4.1 Data Gathering	21
3.4.2 Data Analysis	22
CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION	26
4.1 Findings	26
4.1.1 Students Score	26
4.1.2 The Range of Students Scores	28
4.1.3 Division of Students Score	29
4.1.4 Distractors Analysis	30
4.2 Discussions.	40
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	55
5.1 Conclusion	55
5.2 Suggestion	56

BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APPENDICES	58
Appendix 1 Test Item	59
Appendix 2 Answer Key	60
Appendix 3 Student's Worksheets	61